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ALLEGRO Project

- ALLEGRO is low power GFR (Gas Cooled Fast Reactor) reactor without electricity generation, dedicated to demonstration of helium cooled high temperature fast reactor technology.

- Development and verification of refractory fuel and verification of reliable removal of decay heat after shut down with loss of pressure are of special interests.
ALLEGRO Project

A technology demonstration as a first gas-cooled fast reactor

ALLEGRO ➔ GFR 2400
Joint preparatory work started in 2010 with support of CEA

In May 2010 signature of Memorandum of Understanding by:

Slovak republic  VUJE, a.s.

Czech Republic  UJV Řež, a.s.

Hungary  MTA-EK  
(Magyar Tudományos Akadémia 
Energiatudományi Kutatóközpont )

Poland  NCBJ  
(Narodowe Centrum Badań Jądrowych) 
officially join the consortium in June 2012.
Establishment of V4G4 Centre of Excellence - July 18, 2013

Considering the various difficulties to overcome to succeed in building ALLEGRO, the four organizations decided to create a legal entity, the “V4G4 Centre of Excellence”, which is in charge of the international representation of the project and of its technical coordination.

Four legs of the Centre:
- Design & Safety Concept Research Laboratory – VUJE, a.s.
- GFR Technology Research Laboratory (Helium technology) – ÚJV Řež, a.s.
- GFR Fuel and Reprocessing Research Laboratory – MTA-EK
- GFR Material Research Laboratory (except fuel) – NCBJ
Aim of „V4G4 Centre of Excellence“

- investigating crucial aspects, in particular regarding safety, and generating experimental results for the development of Generation 4 nuclear reactors, especially for the innovative concept GFR (Gas Cooled Fast Reactors) for which a demonstrator, ALLEGRO, will be built and operate in the V4 region,

- promoting and popularizing the potential, perspectives, technical, political and environmental issues related to Generation IV nuclear reactors,

- contributing to the preservation of nuclear qualifications by involving young scientists and engineers into its challenging research and development activities,

- facilitating the integration of nuclear research in Central Europe.
Define a common work plan among the V4G4 partners described by tasks, with two technical orientations.

For each task define what?, who?, when?, how much?

- Technical content and phasing
- Input data needed and tools (codes, experimental facilities,…)
- Links with other tasks
- Leadership and partners
- Time schedule
- Cost in manpower and investments.
The ALLEGRO Consortium
ALLEGRO project PHASES and Outputs

Specifications
Design Requirements & Objectives (Performance Goals)
Safety Requirements and Objectives (SRO)

Pre Conceptual Design (Preparatory Phase)
Preliminary choice of options
Introductory Safety Analysis Report (ISAR)

Conceptual Design
Main design options and justification
Simplified Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (SPSAR)

Basic Design
Improved Design
Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR)

Detailed Design
Final Design (For fabrication of the components / systems and building)
Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR)

Safety Concept (Implementation of the SRO into the design)
Qualification background
Qualification needs
Qualification
Updating

EUROSAFE
EU Projects

**ALLIANCE** - ALLegro Implementing Advanced Nuclear Fuel Cycle in Central Europe coordination and support action
Beginning of the project October 2012, Duration of the project 36 month

**VINCO** - Visegrad Initiative for Nuclear COoperation coordination and support action
Beginning of the project September 2015, Duration of the project 36 month

**ESNII +**

European Sustainable Nuclear Industrial Initiative (ESNII) addresses the need for demonstration of Gen-IV Fast Neutron Reactor technologies, together with the support to research infrastructures, fuel facilities and R&D work.

**VUJE Participation:** WP 6 - Core Safety (ASTRID, ALFRED, ALLEGRO), Core Specification, No Burnup Calculation
Project „ALLEGRO Research Centre“ in Slovakia

Contract between

Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic

Slovak Academy of Sciences

Beginning of the project - 9. September 2014

End of the project - 31. December 2015

Project is financed from EC Structural Funds (program period 2007 – 2013⇒2015)
Operational programme - Research and development

The executive authority of the OP Research and Development
is the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic.

VUJE – subcontractor of Slovak Academy of Sciences (SAS)
Project „ALLEGRO Research Centre“ in Slovakia

Work packages

1. Establishment and initiation of the ALLEGRO Research Centre
   Goal: Development of specialised places of work and laboratories, rooms for employees and technology transfer.

2. Applied research and development in the area of new materials and technologies
   Goal: Realisation of cutting-edge research and development in the area of new materials and technologies, preparation, testing and diagnostics of prototypes.

3. Establishment of technology transfer platform
   Goal: Establishment of a contact point for communication with entrepreneurial sector in frame of the Office for technology transfer (KTT), establishment of incubator, identification of spin-offs and programme for support of technology transfer and mobilisation of innovations.
Research of the safety concept and systems design of the 4th generation ALLEGRO reactor

A.1 Research on the concept of ALLEGRO nuclear facility
A.2 Analysis of the primary circuit behaviour
A.3 Analysis of the systems behaviour to ensure fundamental safety functions
A.4 Research of decay heat removal systems
A.5 Analysis of accidents with fuel damage
A.6 Analysis of containment system
A.7 **Sub-criticality analysis of ALLEGRO core configuration**
A.8 Analysis of power and fission products distribution in the Allegro fuel
A.9 **Research of reactivity control systems**
A.10 Research on fuel handling concept of the Allegro system
A.11 **The development of computational complex for 3D reactor kinetic analysis for ALLEGRO reactor**
A.12 Development of the methodology for assessment of passive safety systems reliability
A.13 Theoretical analysis of the mechanisms of structural materials degradation
A.14 Proposal for experimental verification of the impact of operational loads
A.15 Research of the reactor vessel and piping systems structural response during normal and accident conditions
Project „ALLEGRO Research Centre“ in Slovakia

WP2. Applied research and development in the area of new materials and technologies

Task 3
Equipment research for ALLEGRO reactor

Experimental helium loop

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Slovak University of Technology
ALLEGRO Project
Review of the Design by CEA
Main characteristic of the ALLEGRO core

The reactor shall be operated with two different cores: The starting MOX core will serve to test the operation of the gas cooled fast reactor with well established fuel.

The second core using the ceramic fuel will serve for testing the new fuel design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOX Core</th>
<th>Ceramic Core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core power</td>
<td>75 MWth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coolant pressure</td>
<td>7 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary mass flow rate</td>
<td>53 kg/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core inlet temperature</td>
<td>260 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core outlet temperature</td>
<td>560 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.7 Sub-criticality analysis of ALLEGRO core configuration

Assurance criteria of sub-criticality

- Regulation 57/2006 Coll. of Slovak Republic from 12. January, 2006. This regulation modifies the requirements details regarding to radioactive materials transport
- Regulation of the Slovak regulatory body 30/2012 Coll. from 30 January 2012 specifies the requirements shipment of nuclear materials, nuclear waste and spent fuel

Multiplication coefficient $k_{eff}$ is equivalent to this requirement:

- $k_{eff} < 0.95$ routine states
- $k_{eff} < 0.98$ accident events

The international requirements are listed in IAEA documents: SSG-15, SSG-27 and SSR-6. These documents recommends sub-criticality 5 % with note that national rules are binding.
A.7 Sub-criticality analysis of ALLEGRO core configuration

7 UPuC assemblies in water

72 UPuC assemblies in air
Project „ALLEGRO Research Centre“ in Slovakia

A.7 Sub-criticality analysis of ALLEGRO core configuration

Design of storage can for fresh and spent fuel assembly

- UPuC Fuel Assembly
- ATABOR Steel (Boron 1%)
- Aluminium
A.8 Analysis of power and fission products distribution in the Allegro fuel

Distribution of assemblies relative power in the core at burnup of 18.8 MWd/kgHM and critical position of CSD rods

Average power of node: 1.72414E+05 W
Average power of assembly: 8.62069E+05 W
Power of zone: 7.50000E+07 W
Cycle of Pu and MA recycling from LWR spent nuclear fuel and fabrication of heterogeneous fuel and MA mixture for ALLEGRO reactor

Scenario of heterogeneous transmutation where mixture was placed in the central pins (pink pins) of the assemblies at first orbit in experimental position (assemblies with yellow pins).

- **second transmutation scenario:** Separation of the Am and its integration to the inert MgAl₂O₄ matrix. The mixture contained 11% of AmO₂ by mass.
- **third transmutation scenario:** Separation of the Am and Np separation and their integration to the depleted UO₂ with 0.25% content of U-235 isotope. The mixture of oxides (UO₂, AmO₂, NpO₂) contained 20% by mass of MA.
- **fourth transmutation scenario:** Separation of the Am, Np and Cm separation and their integration to the depleted UO₂ with the U-235 isotope content of 0.25%. The mixture of oxides (UO₂, AmO₂, NpO₂, CmO₂) contained 20% by mass of MA.
Project „ALLEGRO Research Centre“ in Slovakia

A.9 Reactivity control system optimisation

Analytical comparison of the original CEA concept design of the reactivity control system with new designs and proof that the selected design is the most suitable.

The comparison of the worth of the groups of CSD and DSD has been performed within the entire reactor core and the groups have been divided into subgroups CSDa, CSDb (due to better control – high differential efficiency) and DSDa, DSDb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSDa</th>
<th>CSDb</th>
<th>DSDa</th>
<th>DSDb</th>
<th>$\rho_k$ [pcm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>6 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>2 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>-2 453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>-6 288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>-1 045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>5 116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- the disadvantage of the referential model – DSD group is not able to shutdown reactor separately and independently if CSD group is in ULP (temperature effect is circa 1000pcm)
A.9 Reactivity control system optimisation

Analytical comparison of the original CEA concept design of the reactivity control system with new designs and proof that the selected design is the most suitable

- proposal to change DSD group layout in the reactor core so that DSD group could be able independently and separately shutdown reactor if CSD group is in ULP because material modification is no sufficient for shutdown

- variants A and B are able to shutdown reactor after taking into account temperature effect

- variant C is not able to shutdown reactor even without temperature effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>CSD</th>
<th>DSD</th>
<th>$\rho_k$ [pcm]</th>
<th>$\rho_{k, 20°C}$ [pcm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>-2 488</td>
<td>-1 412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>-2 136</td>
<td>-1 060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>ULP</td>
<td>LLP</td>
<td>1 169</td>
<td>2 245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.11 The development of computational complex for 3D reactor kinetic analysis for ALLEGRO reactor

Codes for library file preparation

- HELIOS 2.1.1T + ENDF/B-VII.0
- ZENITH
- ZENDYN
- DYN3D-MG

Library code ZENDYN:
- Input of operation parameters and burn-up dependent cross sections
- Inclusion of it into interpolation tables
- Input and inclusion of burn-up only dependent cross sections
- Library file creation
## Summary / Conclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 - 9</td>
<td>10 - 12</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 3</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td>7 - 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td>7 - 9</td>
<td>10 - 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 12</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - 9</td>
<td>10 - 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALLEGRO Project**
- SC16 Tihany
- SC17 Bratislava
- SC18 Rez
- SC19 Świerk

**Project Management Team**
- Start of the ALLEGRO Project
- Design Specs
- Safety Specs
- Core/System optimization

**EU Projects**
- ALLIANCE
- VINCO
- ESNII Plus
Summary / Conclusion

• The initial period (2010-2013) was devoted to the establishment of legal background for the ALLEGRO development in the V4 countries.

• The subsequent period (2014-2015) enabled a real restart of the ALLEGRO development.
Thank you for your attention